

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,691	12/17/2001	Touru Terada	Q67592 8085	
75	590 07/29/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, N	MION, ZINN,	TRAN, LEN		
MACPEAK &	SEAS, PLLC nnia Avenue N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\mu\sim$
	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		15,691	TERADA ET AL.	
		iner	Art Unit	
	Len	Tran	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears or	n the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of - If the period for reply specified above is less than this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In rommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the mattautory period will apply a reply will, by statute, cause the this after the mailing date of the state.	no event, however, may a reply be e statutory minimum of thirty (30) d and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this commu NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the present the condition of the condition of the closed in accordance with the present the condition of th	2b)☐ This action on for allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal matters, p		rits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) i 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to res Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any o	s/are withdrawn from triction and/or election the Examiner. tre: a) □ accepted o	on requirement. r b)⊡ objected to by the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) include	ling the correction is re	quired if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner	. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some color None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	ity documents have lity documents have lity documents have les of the priority docutional Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applica uments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152))

Application/Control Number: 10/015,691

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibata et al (US 6,193,132), and further in view of Matsumoto et al (US 6,337,489).

Shibata et al disclose the apparatus for bonding comprising of a bonding tool (12), a moving mechanism for moving the bonding tool, a chip recognition camera (14) disposed to be lower than a level of a substrate mounted surface of the substrate stage to thereby

Application/Control Number: 10/015,691

Art Unit: 1725

recognize the chip held by the bonding tool as shown in the figures (col. 6, lines 10-16). Shibata et al also disclose a substrate recognition camera (16) disposed above the substrate stage to recognize the substrate mounted on the substrate stage, and wherein the chip and substrate are subjected to positioning on the basis of recognition results of the chip recognition camera and the substrate recognition camera (figures 1, 5, 7, col. 4, lines 4-11, lines 25-37). In addition, the chip recognition camera is capable of locating the chip within plus and minus 5 mm of a plane of the substrate.

Shibata et al fail to disclose an up and down mechanism to control the bonding tool and a chip tray located lower than the bonding surface of the substrate.

However, Shibata et al disclose the bonding tool to move in a horizontal direction and the stage (10) move upward for bonding. Therefore, setting only the bonding tool to both move in the horizontal and vertical direction would have been obvious, since Shibata et al explicitly discloses such movement for bonding both chip and substrate.

However, Matsumoto et al is introduced to show the vertical movement of the bonding tool and the location of the chip tray to be lower than the level of the chip bonding surface of the substrate for the purpose of positioning the chip on the substrate with high precision.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a vertical mechanism for moving the bonding tool and a lower chip tray as taught by Matsumoto et al, in Shibata et al in order to position the chip to the substrate with high precision.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,691

Art Unit: 1725

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on June 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Matsumoto fails to teach a chip recognition camera focused so as to recognize a lower surface of a chip when the lower surface of the chip is located between plus or minus five millimeter of a plane in which is located a chip bonding surface of the substrate. However, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Since the claim pertains to an apparatus claim, the apparatus of Matsumoto is capable of locating the chip within plus and minus 5 mm of a plane of the substrate. Therefore, claims 1-4 remain rejected.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/015,691

Art Unit: 1725

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kiley Stoner A4 1725 Why Stone 7/27/04 Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT July 28, 2004